TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED: TORT - MOTOR VEHICLE TORT - CONTRACT - EQUITABLE RELIEF - OTHER

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

HAMPDEN, ss	DEPARTMENT OF	SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION NO.		
Tina Red in behalf of		17. 784		
Tina feel, in behalf of Similarly situated	, PLAINTIFF(S)			
Compact Cable Commun management LLC	SUM	MMONS		
To the above named defendant:	omcost Cable Comm. Ment lits atty Chenyl Ping renlex Boston Ma 02199 nd required to serve upon USA Brodew- s 1360 Main St Ste 202 Spring F	UC Mcgan		
an answer to the complaint which is upon you, exclusive of the day of ser for the relief demanded in the comp	s 380 Main St. Ste 202 Spring for the served upon you, within 20 days af ervice. If you fail to do so, judgment by defau plaint. You are also required to file your ans springfield either before service upon the pla	ter service of this summons ilt will be taken against you wer to the complaint in the		
may have against the plaintiff which a	y rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counte arises out of the transaction or occurrence tha er be barred from making such claim in any o	t is the subject matter of the		
Witness, Judith Fabricant, Es in the year of our Lord two thousand	sq., at Springfield the 16th day of 1	Jovenber		

Laura S. Gentile, Esquire CLERK OF COURTS

NOTES:

1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure

2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all such defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.

CIVIL A	CTION CC	VER SHEET	DOCKET NUMBER	X	Trial Court of Ma		setts
PLAINTIFF(S): ADDRESS:	TINA PEEL		-		COUNTY Hampden		
				DEFENDANT(S):	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATION	S MANAGEMEN	IT, LLC
ATTORNEY:	1101 00000			****			
ADDRESS:	LISA BRODEUR	·····		ADB DE 0		···	
413-735-1775	1360 Main Stree	at, Suite 202, Springfield, MA 0	1103	ADDRESS:			N(
BBO:	556755						
	000700	TYPE OF A	ACTION AND TRAC	K DESIGNATION (se	e reverse side)		
CODE B22		TYPE OF ACTIO	N (specify)	TRACK	HAS A JURY CLAIN	I BEEN MAD	E?
*If "Other" pleas	se describe:	OTA TEA	TUT OF DAMAGE	PURSUANT TO G.L			
2. 10ta 3. Tota 4. Tota 5. Tota B. Documented loc. Documented p D. Reasonably ar E. Reasonably ar F. Other documer	i hospital experi doctor expens chiropractic exity physical thera tother expense ext wages and coroperty damage ticipated future ticipated lost we nited items of date	nses	ensesd extent of injury:	CT CLAIMS	Subto	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	180,000.00 800,000.00 100,000.00
				sheets as necessary)			
Provide a detailed	i description of	claims(s):			T	OTAL: \$	
Signature of A			aber, case name, a	Ind county of any re	lated actions pending in the S	Date: //	<i>3/17</i> irt.
rule 1:10) requi	disadvantage	mplied with requirement wide my clients with interest of the various methology	nts of Rule 5 of the formation about coods of dispute resc	urt-connected disnu	Court Uniform Rules on Dispu te resolution services and dis	te Resolution cuss with the	n (SJC em the

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Hampden, ss.

Superior Court Department Civil Action No.

TINA PEEL, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Defendants

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PARTIES

- 1) Plaintiff, Tina Peel ("Peel"), is an individual of legal age who resides in Manchester, New Hampshire.
- 2) Plaintiff files this action on her own behalf and on behalf of all the persons that are similarly situated.
- 3) At all times relevant, Defendant, Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC, is a foreign corporation with a regular place of business at 3303 Main Street, Springfield, Hampden County, Massachusetts.
- Prior to December 1, 2016, Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc., was a duly incorporated company with a regular place of business at 3303 Main Street, Springfield, Hampden County, Massachusetts.
- 5) On December 1, 2016, Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc., merged with Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 6) Tina Peel is a fifty-five year old lesbian woman.
- 7) Upon information and belief, Peel began working for Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc., in 2009 as a residential sales person.
- 8) 1n 2015, Peel's paycheck were issued by Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc.
- 9) In 2016, Peel's paychecks were issued by Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc.
- In 2017, Peel's paychecks were issued by Comcast Cable Communications Management,LLC.
- Upon information and belief, all prior Comcast entities from 2015 through 2017 were merged with defendant corporation Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC. (hereinafter referred to as "Comcast).
- In 2012, Peel applied for and was approved for a transfer to business sales as a BAE I, an entry level sales position.
- 13) As a BAE I, Peel's performance was exemplary and she met or exceeded her sales goals.
- 14) As a result of her high performance, in April 2013 Peel was promoted to BAE II.
- 15) Again, Peel's performance as a BAE II was exemplary.
- Peel's managers at Comcast, including Alex Sardo and Paul Savas, told Peel that performing sales coaching was a pre-requisite for promotion to a sales manager position.
- 17) In April 2014, Peel applied for and was transferred to a sales coaching position.
- 18) This position was a significant pay cut, but Peel relied on the statements that being a sales coach was a pre-requisite to being a sales manager.
- 19) In 2013-2014, Ryan Blakeslee, a younger male, was promoted from sales coach to sales manager and accepted a position in Florida.

- 20) Peel applied for the sales manager position.
- 21) Peel was an excellent candidate for the position given her history with Comcast.
- 22) Everyone involved in the decision to promote for the sales manager position was male.
- 23) At the relevant time, all Comcast's sales managers for the New England Sales Region for Business Service were male.
- 24) Comcast promoted Chris Johnson, a 28 year old male, for the sales manager position, bypassing Peel.
- At the time, Chris Johnson was a BAE I and did not have the sales coaching or advanced products experience that Peel had.
- After the decision to promote Chris Johnson over her was told to Peel, Alex Sardo's treatment of Peel changed significantly for the worse.
- 27) Alex Sardo stopped speaking with Peel, cancelling one-on-ones with her, and essentially froze her out of sales meetings.
- 28) Peel reported these issues to Comcast's Human Resources Department.
- 29) Comcast's Human Resources Department did nothing about Peel's issues.
- On September 18, 2015, Peel filed a complaint against Comcast with the Commission Against Discrimination Commission alleging gender discrimination and retaliation.
- After filing that Complaint, Peel received little support in her work at Comcast and was put in a position to fail.
- Peel, as a Senior BAE, was supposed to have a weekly ride-out with her manager, a practice for managers to ensure that Senior BAEs were utilizing all effective strategies and to offer pointers on how to increase productivity.

- From March 2016 to July 2016, Peel received only one ride-out with her then-manager,
 Patrick Hebda.
- 34) In July 2016, Hugh Meehan took over the role of Senior Manager and from that time on, Peel had only had one ride out, with Hugh Meehan.
- On August 25, 2016, there was a senior team meeting held at Samuel's Tavern in Springfield, Massachusetts, with the Senior BAEs and Hugh Meehan.
- As Peel was leaving that meeting, Hugh Meehan pulled Peel in close to him and kissed her on the cheek.
- 37) For everyone else, including another woman, he simply shook their hands.
- 38) Hugh Meehan told Peel "That's what I do."
- 39) Peel expressed to Hugh Meehan that she did not appreciate being kissed by her managers.
- 40) Peel then filed an internal complaint with Comcast regarding the kissing incident.
- 41) The investigation substantiated her allegations and Hugh Meehan was apparently coached on sexual harassment.
- 42) Since Peel's complaint of sexual harassment, her support at work disappeared entirely and Comcast acted and failed to take other steps that caused economic and other career harm to Plaintiff; such actions were retaliatory.
- By way of example, Peel had no ride-outs with her manager and had no effective one-on-ones to go over leads.
- On Wednesday, October 26, 2016, Peel was given a verbal warning because her production had declined and she was no longer meeting her sales goals.

- Also on October 26, 2016, the Senior BAEs were all assigned individual sales subterritories, whereas before they were previously free to track leads throughout the entire territory.
- 46) Peel was assigned a territory in northern Western Massachusetts and southern Vermont.
- When Peel saw the sprawling and unproductive territory relative to her peers, Peel complained to her bosses, Hugh Meehan and Patrick Hebda.
- Peel believes these actions were retaliatory for both her prior claim of discrimination filed with the MCAD and her complaint of sexual harassment to Human Resources.
- 49) On March 1, 2016, Peel filed a second complaint with the MCAD alleging further retaliation she had suffered as a result of her first filing.
- Because of the discriminatory and retaliatory treatment Peel was suffering in her current position with Comcast, Peel attempted to remove herself from that situation by applying for different positions within the company.
- 51) Peel through counsel sought remedial help from HR and from defense counsel.
- 52) No viable efforts were made to remediate the problems.
- On or about May 20, 2017, Peel applied for a transfer to a position out of the area, in Manchester, New Hampshire.
- After submitting her application, Peel conversed several times with a talent recruiter for Comcast in that region.
- The recruiter told Peel she would be speaking with her sales manager and would get back to her.
- 56) Peel has not heard anything from the recruiter since.
- 57) The failure to transfer/promote was retaliatory and further discrimination.

- Due to this ongoing discriminatory and retaliatory conduct, on or about July 3, 2017, Peel submitted her resignation from Comcast.
- 59) However, on or about August 6, 2017, Peel applied for a Manager 1 position in Comcast's Manchester, New Hampshire office.
- Peel believed she was a good candidate for this position given her proven track record with the company and strong management qualities.
- On or about August 6, 2017, Peel also applied for a Manager 2 position in Comcast's Manchester, New Hampshire office.
- 62) For many of the same reasons as above, Peel was a strong candidate for this position.
- On or about August 14, 2017, Peel received a call from Mike Martin, a recruiter with Comcast who told Peel she did not get the Manager 1 position.
- Martin then asked Peel if she had been notified about the outcome of her application for the Manager 2 position, and when she told him no, he said that someone would get in touch but she was not getting that position either.
- 65) To date, Peel has not heard back regarding her application to the Manager 2 position.
- Peel is well qualified for these positions and based on information and belief is more qualified than the persons selected.
- Comcast did not grant Peel these promotions and transfers based on her complaints to the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination against the company and/or based on other discriminatory animus.
- Toward the end of her employment with Comcast, Peel began to notice the great disparity in its workforce: Comcast has very few women and persons over 40 in positions comparable to hers and those she was qualified for.

- Peel is aware of many other women and persons over 40 who were passed over for promotions and in the hiring process.
- 70) Plaintiff has filed multiple actions at the MCAD, including a last action in 2017 for which she filed a complaint for all similarly situated persons.
- 71) Comcast's discriminatory practices have been continuous and ongoing for years and have formed a pattern and practice of discrimination.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>M.G.L.</u> Chapter 151B Gender Discrimination

- Paragraphs 1 through 67, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- 73) Plaintiff is a woman, a class given protections under Chapter 151B of the Massachusetts General Laws.
- Plaintiff, along with other women, have been discriminated against by Comcast in that they have been treated differently and not offered the same opportunities for advancement as men.
- As a result of Defendant's discriminatory treatment, Plaintiff and other similarly situated women have suffered financial, emotional, and other harm.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>M.G.L.</u> Chapter 151B Age Discrimination

- Paragraphs 1 through 69, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- At all relevant times, Plaintiff was over the age of forty, putting her in a class of people given protections under the law.

- Plaintiff, along with other people over forty, have been discriminated against by

 Defendant in that they have been treated differently and not offered the same
 opportunities for advancement as younger people.
- As a result of Defendant's discriminatory treatment, Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals have suffered financial, emotional, and other harm.

COUNT III Unlawful Retaliation in Violation of M.G.L. c. 151B

- Paragraphs 1 through 73, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- Defendant, by and through the conduct of its agents, employees, or servants, retaliated against Plaintiff for filing complaints of discrimination and sexual harassment.
- As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered economic and other damages, including damage to her reputation, emotional distress, and lost wages, and incurred attorney fees.

COUNT IV Title VII Gender Discrimination

- Paragraphs 1 through 76, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- Plaintiff is a woman, a class given protections under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.
- Plaintiff, along with other women, have been discriminated against by Defendant, in that they have been treated differently and not offered the same opportunities for advancement as men.

As a result of Defendant's discriminatory treatment, Plaintiff and other similarly situated women have suffered financial, emotional, and other harm.

COUNT V Unlawful Retaliation in Violation of Title VII

- Paragraphs 1 through 80, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- Defendant, by and through the conduct of its agents, employees, or servants, retaliated against Plaintiff for filing complaints of discrimination and sexual harassment.
- As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered economic and other damages, including damage to her reputation, emotional distress, and lost wages, and incurred attorney fees.

COUNT VI ADEA Age Discrimination

- Paragraphs 1 through 83, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- 91) At all relevant times, Plaintiff was over the age of forty, putting her in a class of people given protections under the law.
- Plaintiff, along with other people over forty, have been discriminated against by

 Defendant in that they have been treated differently and not offered the same
 opportunities for advancement in the company as younger people.
- As a result of Defendant's discriminatory treatment, Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals have suffered financial, emotional, and other harm.

COUNT VII Unlawful Retaliation in Violation of ADEA

- Paragraphs 1 through 87, supra, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and realleged as if set forth fully herein.
- Defendant, by and through the conduct of its agents, employees, or servants, retaliated against Plaintiff for filing complaints of age discrimination.
- As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered economic and other damages, including damage to her reputation, emotional distress, and lost wages, and incurred attorney fees.

Wherefore, Plaintiff Tina Peel demands judgment against Defendant Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC, in an amount to be determined at trial, plus liquidated damages, interest, costs, attorney fees, and any other relief this Court deems fair, just, and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Tina Peel hereby requests a trial by jury on all facts and issues in the abovecaptioned action.

Date: November 1, 2017

Respectfully Submitted Plaintiff, Tina Peel

Lisa Brodeur-MeGan, Esq. (BBO# 556755)

lbm@brodeurmcgan.com

Daniel X. Montagna, Esq. (BBO# 679341)

dmontagna@brodeurmcgan.com

Brodeur-McGan, P.C.

1380 Main Street, Suite 202,

Springfield, MA 01103

(413) 735-1775; Fax: (413) 735-1772

CIVIL TRACKING ORDER (STANDING ORDER 1-88)	1779CV00784	Trial Court of Massachusetts The Superior Court	Ŵ	
ASE NAME: Tina Peel, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated vs. Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC		Laura S Gentile, Clerk of Courts		
To: Lisa Brodeur-McGan, Esq. Brodeur-McGan, P.C. 1380 Main St Suite 202 Springfield, MA 01103		COURT NAME & ADDRESS Hampden County Superior Court Hall of Justice - 50 State Street P.O. Box 559 Springfield, MA 01102		

TRACKING ORDER - F - Fast Track

You are hereby notified that this case is on the track referenced above as per Superior Court Standing Order 1-88. The order requires that the various stages of litigation described below must be completed not later than the deadlines indicated.

STAGES OF LITIGATION

DEADLINE

	SERVED BY	FILED BY	HEARD BY
Service of process made and return filed with the Court	1.0	02/05/2018	
Response to the complaint filed (also see MRCP 12)		03/06/2018	
All motions under MRCP 12, 19, and 20	03/06/2018	04/05/2018	05/07/2018
All motions under MRCP 15	03/06/2018	04/05/2018	05/07/2018
All discovery requests and depositions served and non-expert despositions completed	09/04/2018		Arriva Lagran
All motions under MRCP 56	10/02/2018	11/01/2018	
Final pre-trial conference held and/or firm trial date set			03/01/2019
Case shall be resolved and judgment shall issue by			11/06/2019

The final pre-trial deadline is <u>not the scheduled date of the conference</u>. You will be notified of that date at a later time. Counsel for plaintiff must serve this tracking order on defendant before the deadline for filing return of service. This case is assigned to

DATE ISSUED

ASSISTANT CLERK

11/06/2017

Cheryl Coakley-Rivera

PHONE

(413)735-6016